CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 04 2005

FACSIMILE



COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO, **CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.**

One North Wacker Drive, Suite 4130 Chicago, Illinois 60606

To: Examiner Qureshi

United States Patent & Trademark Office

Fax number: (571) 273-8300

From: David M. Mundt, Esq. Fax number: 312-984-0146

Date: 09/09/2005

Regarding:

Telephone Call of September 1, 2005

Phone number for follow-up:

312-984-0144

Total Number of Pages, including cover 15

COMMENTS:

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR DISTRIBUTION OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE CALL THE SENDER COLLECT AT (USA) 312-984-0144, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS BY MAIL THANK

SEP 09 2005

CERTIFICATE OF

TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that this

correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to

Examiner Qureshi at (571) 273-8300 on

Date: Sept.9, 2005

Kim Canchola

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

09/810,314

Confirmation No.

8678

Inventor

Schweitzer, III, Edmund O.

Filed

Title

March 14, 2001

TC/A.U.

8678

Examiner

.

Qureshi, Afsar M.

:

Communications Processor for Electric Power Substations

Docket No.

1444-0057.01

LETTER

Dear Examiner Qureshi:

Thank you for your telephone call of September 1, 2005. During that conversation you expressed your concern regarding two issues with the above-referenced case.

First, that the previously-filed response included deleted claim language that was stricken-through, rather than bracketed. We have attached a copy of the Response incorporating this change.

Second, that the documentation fails to specify what the error is and/or what claim language is too broad. We have attached a copy of (1) the Reissue Application Declaration By The Assignee; and (2) the previously-filed Affidavit of David Dolezilek. From a review of these documents, and the original amendment to claim 1, it should be noted that the error was original issuance of claim 1 of the 5,680,324 patent in light of the Tetragenics RTU without the limitation of communication parameters for at least several of the port positions to enable proper data communication between the communications processor and any of a plurality of different electronic devices connected thereto.

We believe this transmission addresses your concerns, but would be pleased to provide further information if you deem necessary.

Very truly yours,

Cook) Alex, McFarren, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.

David W. Widild

200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850 Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 984-0144

September 9, 2005

SEP 19 2005

PATENT Case No. 1444-0057.01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re ti	ne application of)	
Edmund O. Schweitzer, III et al.		Group Art Unit:	2667
Serial No. 09/810,314) Examiner:	Afsar M. Qureshi
Filed:	March 14, 2001) Confirmation N	o. 8678
For:	Communications Processor for Electric Power Substations))	

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT DATED APRIL 14, 2005 AND OFFICE ACTION DATED OCTOBER 19, 2004

To: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir;

In response to the Office Action of October 19, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

This Response includes the following sections:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of the claims which begin on page 3 of this Response.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this Response.